



International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research and Development (IJPRD)

Platform for Pharmaceutical Researches & Ideas

www.ijprd.com

BIOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF LEAF PROTEIN CONCENTRATES PREPARED FROM SELECTED PLANT SPECIES OF TAMIL NADU

Beulajosephin E.D. *¹, Mungikar A.M²

¹Department of Botany, Madras Christian College, -Chennai, Tamil Nadu

²Department of Botany, Dr. Baba Saheb Ambedkar Marathwada University –Aurangabad, Maharashtra

ABSTRACT

Protein is an indispensable constituent in human food. To sustain development of human, production of protein is a must. Attempts are being made to develop a technique to evaluate several precious sources of unconventional protein to diminish protein-caloric malnutrition problem. These include isolation of oil seed protein, fish protein, single cell protein production of consumable protein from cellulosic waste, and Leaf protein. The leaf protein concentrate can be considered as a readily available and cheap source of protein. The paper envisaged the biochemical analysis of leaf protein concentrates of thirty plants collected from Tamil Nadu India. The result indicates that nearly 80% of the plants are suitable for preparation of Leaf Protein Concentrates for human as well as animal nutrition.

KEYWORDS : LPC- Leaf Protein Concentrates, Green Crop fractionation, Protein-caloric malnutrition. (PCM)

INTRODUCTION

Green cover on the earth indicates the existence of life and presumptuous. Intake of nutritious food is an essential aspect human and animal nutrition. Because of increasing population, people are dying from hunger-related causes every day and 75% of the population of the countries in Asia, Africa, central and South America receive only 60% or even less protein than they need¹. Thus increasing world population every year will make food more and more inaccessible to the growing population. Advances in science and have paved the way to get an astonishing achievement

in the agricultural field. Because of this in India is presently one of the leading countries in the production of food, industrial development science and technology etc. But at the same time India has the misfortune of having about 75 million malnourished children below the age of five years, next to Bangladesh, and Nepal. Most of the people living on or below poverty line and suffering from protein deficiency, i.e. Protein-caloric malnutrition (PCM). The term "Kwashiorkor" has been widely adapted to describe the protein malnutrition that is found in every economically underdeveloped country. It has been reported that

Correspondence to Author

Beulajosephin E.D.

¹Department of Botany, Madras Christian College, -Chennai, Tamil Nadu

Email: bjosephin@gmail.com

low protein diet affect hepatic and muscular glycogen level²It has been found that children up to the age of 60 months with severe PCM exhibited increased chromosomal aberrations in peripheral lymphocytes and bone marrow cells³These abnormalities persisted even after they had attained the normal height and weight. In addition to this serum leptin levels also lower in patients with anorexia nervosa and protein caloric malnutrition⁴.

The minimum protein requirement is estimated to be approximately 0.5g/Kg of body weight in adult Human⁵In growing child the minimum requirement for optimum growth may be more than 3g/Kg of body weight. Pregnancy and lactation would increase the minimum required for nitrogen balance⁶. This increasing demand of food protein can be met by either, substantial increase in overall efficiency of farming or by searching novel or unconventional source of protein. Pirie⁶ (1942) explained the real potential of leaf protein (LP) and its use as human food during the II world war. For two decades after the war, several research workers took interest in LP work^{7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14}. The Leaf Protein Concentrate (LPC) is protein rich product, containing 40-70% Protein the drymatter (DM) along with appreciable quantities β -Carotene(Pro-vitamin A), Vitamin E, and minerals. The LPC can be used as protein-vitamin –mineral supplement in poultry calf or even in human nutrition^{15,16,17}The nutritive value of leaf protein depends on its amino acid composition. A comparison of leaf protein with the provisional pattern of the FAO reference protein (FAO 1965) shows that the LP has enough quantities of essential amino acids, though the amount of methionine is sometimes marginal. Leaf protein is therefore not nutritionally as good as the animal protein such as milk meat and egg, but it is than cereals, legumes and seed protein¹⁸In a six month feeding trial, LPC was found suitable as a protein supplement in pre school children¹⁹.

In India attempts were made by late B.C. Guha in 1943 to use leaf protein from water hyacinth and other species²⁰The work was then initiated by Subramanyam and his colleagues²¹ at CFTRI, Mysore. From 1965 onwards N. Singh and

his colleagues at CFTRI explored the possibilities of using green LP in human food and attempts were made to develop an integrated technology for fodder fractionation, suitable for small scale production of LP in Indian villages. Subsequently the work on this aspect was initiated at several other places. The yields of leaf protein from *Tithonia*, *bajra*, *mustard*, *wheat* and *Sesbania* reached 689,325,609,766 and 1466 kg/ha in 98,93, 71, 70 and 341 days respectively²²About 50% of protein N can be extracted from this crop^{23,24}The protein N extractability of this crop was found to be similar to that of Lucerne and protein concentrate prepared from this crop, containing 51.9 % crude protein, is nutritionally superior with a value of protein efficiency ratio (PER) exceeding 2.0²⁵The earlier studies shows that Lucerne is a highly productive crop with consistent performance. The crop yielded over 150 t fresh vegetation, 25 t dry matter 6t crude pretein and 3.2 t extractable protein per hectare when harvested 14 to 16 times in a year^{26,27,28}.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Pirie (1942) advocated the exploitation of green leaves as a source of protein in human nutrition. The proteins synthesized in the leaves are nutritionally far superior than the conventional protein sources. However, as the proteins in leaf are associated with indigestible fibrous or cell wall material, the leaves are not suitable in human diet as a source of protein, though leaves of many plants are consumed as vegetable and salad mainly as source of dietary fiber, minerals and vitamins. However, the fibrous material limits consumption of green leaves as a source of protein. In order to make available, the protein in leaf for human diet, it is necessary to separate them from fibrous material. Pirie suggested a process called as "Green Crop Fractionation (GCF)" for this purpose.

During GCF, the fresh green foliage is macerated to rupture the cell. The macerated plant material, called as pulp, is then pressed and the juice released during pressing is then employed for the isolation of protein in it. Either heating or acidification of the juice results into coagulation of

proteins resulting into a curd referred to as leaf protein concentrate (LPC), which is separated from remaining portion of the juice by filtration. The LPC is dark green in colour with 40-70% curd protein in its dry matter (DM) depending on the species from which it is extracted. In addition, it contains appreciable amount of minerals, lipids and vitamins. The incorporation of LPC in human nutrition as protein-vitamin-mineral rich concentrate has been proved to be useful to overcome protein deficiency.

Attempts have been made during present investigation to prepare LPC from green foliage of thirty plant species of growing in Tamil Nadu. The LPC prepared using domestic appliances and the yield of LPC dry matter (LPC-DM) per Kg of foliage was recorded. The chemical composition of the LPC sample was then studied to recommend suitable species for optimum production of high quality of leaf protein concentrate (LPC).

Fresh green foliage of *Erythrina Variegata* (Fabaceae), *Cassia occidentalis* L (Fabaceae), *Cassia tora* L (Fabaceae), *Sesbaniagrandiflora* (L) Poir. In .Lam (Fabaceae), *Vignamungo* (L) Hepper, Kew Bull. (Fabaceae), *Phaseolus Vulgaris*. L (Fabaceae), *Phaseoloustrilobus* Ait (Fabaceae), *Trigonella foenum-gracum* L. (Fabaceae) *Madicagosativa* L. (Fabaceae), *Cucurbita maxima* Duch (Cucurbitaceae), *Cucumis sativus* L. (Cucurbitaceae), *Benincasa hispida* (Thunb) Cogn. (Cucurbitaceae), *Cociniagrandis* (L) Voight (Cucurbitaceae), *Manihot esculenta*. Crantz (Euphorbiaceae), *Solanum nigrum* L. (Solanaceae), *Solanum trilobatum* L. (Solanaceae), *Centella asiatica* (L) Urban. (Apiaceae), *Moringa oleifera*. Lam. (Moringaceae), *Eclipta alba* (L) Hsaka. (Asteraceae), *Adathoda vasica*. Nees (Acanthaceae), *Talinum portulacaefolium* Forsk. (Portulacaceae), *Souropus androgynous* (L) Merr. (Euphorbiaceae) were collected from the field, early in the morning. The leaves of *Brassica oleracea*. Var. *capitata* (Brassicaceae), *Brassica oleracea*. Var. *botrytis* (Brassicaceae), *Raphanus sativus* L. (Brassicaceae), *Coriandrum sativum* L. (Chenopodiaceae), *Spinacia oleracea* L. (Chenopodiaceae),

Amaranthus viridis L. (Amaranthaceae), *Atriplex hortensis* (Chenopodiaceae), were purchased from the vegetable market.

The samples of foliages collected from either field or market were immediately brought into the laboratory for fractionation, washed with to remove adhering dust and mud particles. The foliage was then minced to a fine pulp using domestic grinder or mortar and pestle. One Kg of pulp was placed on cotton cloth and manually pressed to extract the leaf juice. The amount of leaf juice obtained per Kg of foliage was then measured and a sample was taken for preparation of leaf protein concentrate (LPC).

For the preparation of LPC, about 20 ml water was taken in a stainless steel container and heated to boil. To the boiling water, 100 ml of leaf juice was slowly added with stirring till the temperature reached to 95 degree C. Due to the heating of juice, proteins in it coagulated to a curd referred as leaf protein concentrate (LPC). The LPC was then separated from remaining portion of the juice called as deproteinized juice (DPJ) by filtration through cheese cloth. The LPC thus prepared was stored in vinegar (2% acetic acid) and sealed in specimen bottles. After storage for up to two months, the LPC was suspended in water and filtrated through Whatman filter paper. It was washed several times to it free from vinegar, dried in oven till constant weight and the yield of dry LPC (LPC-DM) was determined taking into consideration weight of the dry LPC and amount of juice extracted per Kg of green foliage.

The dry LPC was ground in to a fine power and stored in plastic containers for further analysis. The nitrogen (N) content was estimated by microkjeldahl method²⁹ and crud protein (CP) content was expressed as $N \times 6.25$. The contents of total ash, acid soluble ash (ASA), acid insoluble ash (AIA) and calcium (Ca) were estimated following A.O.A.C (1970)³⁰ method. A method described by Fiske and Subba Rau (1925)³¹ as described by Oser³² (1979) was followed for the estimation of phosphorus (P) a sample of dry LPC was boiled in water, filtrated and the amount of water soluble reducing sugar (WSRS) was estimation in the

filtrated using folin-Wu tubes³² (Oser , 1979) . A method described by Crampton and Maynard (1938) ³³ was followed for the estimation of cellulose. The content of β - carotene was

determined according to Knuckles et al., (1972)³⁴ . Crude fat content was measured by extracting the sample with chloroform; methanol (2;1) using Soxhlet extractor .

Table 1. Yield and Chemical composition of Leaf Protein Concentrates (LPCs) prepared from various Plant species from Tamil Nadu.

Sr.No	Plant	Yield of LPC-DM g/Kg	%of dry matter (DM)						
			Nitrogen (N)	Crude Protein (CP)	Total ash	AIA	ASA	Ca	P
1	<i>Cassia occidentalis</i>	16.5	6.3	39.8	5.6	0.1	5.5	0.63	0.26
2	<i>Cassia tora</i>	22.5	8.1	50.0	5.2	2.2	2.9	0.38	0.32
3	<i>Erythrina variegata</i>	8.9	9.2	58.2	8.7	2.7	6.0	0.18	0.31
4	<i>Madicagosativa</i>	24.1	7.9	49.4	9.7	1.7	8.0	0.41	0.36
5	<i>Phaseolustrilobus</i>	21.9	6.4	40.1	5.0	3.8	1.1	0.16	0.11
6	<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i>	27.0	8.7	54.6	5.5	2.0	3.5	0.10	0.18
7	<i>Sesbaniagrandiflora</i>	17.8	6.1	38.2	2.1	0.5	1.6	0.40	0.36
8	<i>Trigonella foenium – graecum</i>	15.1	6.8	43.0	5.9	2.6	3.3	0.31	0.61
9	<i>Vignamungo</i>	12.3	4.5	28.7	7.1	2.3	4.8	0.32	0.18
10	<i>Manihotesculenta</i>	20.3	7.7	48.6	5.5	2.1	3.3	0.58	0.15
11	<i>Souropus androgynous</i>	25.4	5.0	31.7	4.4	1.9	2.5	0.30	0.23
12	<i>Solanumnigrum</i>	18.0	8.7	54.8	4.2	1.0	3.2	0.70	0.18
13	<i>Solanum trilobatum</i>	18.1	5.4	33.8	5.2	1.3	3.9	0.89	0.35
14	<i>Coriandrum sativum</i>	12.3	3.0	19.2	6.9	2.6	4.3	0.14	0.22
15	<i>Cucurbita maxima</i>	13.7	7.7	48.4	9.8	4.9	4.9	0.14	0.17
16	<i>Benincasa hispida</i>	20.0	4.8	30.2	5.5	2.0	3.5	0.58	0.29
17	<i>Cucumis sativus</i>	14.7	7.0	43.7	7.1	3.5	3.6	0.14	0.22
18	<i>Coccinia grandis</i>	20.1	5.8	36.3	10.1	3.1	6.9	0.21	0.21
19	<i>Brassica oleracea var. botrytis</i>	16.9	5.0	31.7	3.5	1.7	1.8	0.32	0.19
20	<i>Brassica oleracea var. capitata</i>	10.5	4.3	27.3	2.7	1.0	1.7	0.30	0.35
21	<i>Raphanus sativus</i>	13.1	6.1	38.1	9.9	3.1	6.7	0.41	0.51
22	<i>Centella asiatica</i>	7.7	4.3	27.3	6.3	2.0	4.2	0.90	0.34
23	<i>Moringa oleifera</i>	14.6	5.7	36.1	4.9	2.1	2.8	0.46	0.47
24	<i>Eclipta alba</i>	9.1	5.5	34.3	10.0	3.1	6.9	0.60	0.13
25	<i>Adhatodavatica</i>	14.7	7.2	45.3	11.0	2.5	8.5	0.32	0.47
26	<i>Talinnum portulacifolium</i>	12.7	4.5	28.2	10.0	3.8	6.1	0.86	0.13
27	<i>Carthamus tinctorius</i>	10.1	5.8	36.3	4.6	1.6	2.9	0.28	0.98
28	<i>Spinacia oleracea</i>	11.7	6.1	38.1	9.9	3.1	6.7	0.41	0.56
29	<i>Amaranthus viridis</i>	10.9	4.3	27.1	9.2	2.0	7.2	0.31	0.13
30	<i>Atriplex hortensis</i>	14.2	5.7	35.9	6.9	2.3	4.5	0.37	0.25
	Mean	16.3	6.0	38.7	6.7	2.3	4.5	0.39	0.28

s.d.	05.0	1.5	09.5	2.5	1.3	2.2	0.22	0.18
c.v.	30.0	26.1	24.6	37.7	57.9	49.7	56.6	63.8

Table 1b. Yield and Chemical composition of Leaf Protein Concentrates (LPCs) prepared from various Plant species from Tamil Nadu.

Sr.No	Plant	Water soluble Reducing Sugar(WSRS)	% of Drymatter (DM)					
			Crude Fat	Cellulose	Starch	Total Sugar	β Carotene mg/100 g DM	Gross Energy Kcal/g DM
1	<i>Cassia occidentalis</i>	0.45	18.4	1.04	2.16	4.0	2.6	3.1
2	<i>Cassia tora</i>	0.17	16.0	1.54	1.80	6.4	3.8	3.9
3	<i>Erythrina variegata</i>	0.59	20.4	2.98	4.14	6.60	9.30	3.7
4	<i>Madicagosativa</i>	0.12	10.0	7.0	1.35	6.2	3.42	3.4
5	<i>Phaseolustrilobus</i>	0.18	14.4	2.62	3.42	3.6	5.88	3.3
6	<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i>	0.55	13.2	2.80	4.10	7.0	1.9	3.4
7	<i>Sesbaniagrandiflora</i>	0.74	21.4	2.08	1.98	3.6	1.0	3.2
8	<i>Trigonellafoenium – graecum</i>	0.13	10.1	3.10	1.35	6.4	6.2	3.4
9	<i>Vignamungo</i>	0.49	13.2	1.10	3.06	7.2	2.4	3.1
10	<i>Manihotesculenta</i>	0.80	12.0	3.10	3.96	6.8	6.06	3.1
11	<i>Souropus androgynous</i>	0.32	23.4	8.00	2.16	5.0	3.81	3.3
12	<i>Solanumnigrum</i>	0.34	18.8	1.76	2.88	6.0	6.0	3.3
13	<i>Solanumtrilobatum</i>	0.34	18.1	2.0	2.40	5.8	3.37	3.2
14	<i>Coriandrumsativum</i>	0.34	18.8	1.76	2.88	6.0	6.0	3.3
15	<i>Cucurbita maxima</i>	0.81	12.0	3.10	3.96	6.8	6.06	3.1
16	<i>Benincasahispida</i>	0.60	18.1	1.56	2.16	5.8	1.84	3.1
17	<i>Cucumissativus</i>	0.90	18.4	1.10	3.89	5.9	4.14	3.9
18	<i>Cocciniagrandis</i>	0.40	10.8	5.6	2.18	6.9	2.72	3.8
19	<i>Brassica oleraceav.botrytis</i>	0.13	9.0	2.48	3.60	6.1	1.40	3.7
20	<i>Brassica oleraceav.capitata</i>	0.10	8.5	2.98	3.24	6.4	1.04	3.1
21	<i>Raphanussativus</i>	0.11	11.8	8.5	2.42	5.0	3.72	3.2
22	<i>Centellaasiatica</i>	0.38	21.4	3.42	1.81	5.0	0.10	3.1
23	<i>Moringaolelifera</i>	0.11	21.6	5.20	1.85	5.9	4.00	3.6
24	<i>Eclipta alba</i>	0.55	21.5	3.28	1.61	4.1	3.80	3.2
25	<i>Adhatodavasica</i>	0.80	9.0	1.7	2.52	6.4	8.41	3.2
26	<i>Talinnumporlucifolium</i>	0.32	12.0	3.3	3.96	6.5	3.72	3.6
27	<i>Carthamustinctorius</i>	0.20	11.8	1.0	2.42	5.0	7.25	3.2
28	<i>Spinaciaoleracea</i>	0.72	11.5	2.1	2.70	6.4	3.20	3.1

29	<i>Amaranthusviridis</i>	0.83	10.0	9.4	2.43	4.6	1.22	3.6
30	<i>Atriplexhortensis</i>	0.22	9.0	6.0	6.30	4.2	4.33	3.2
	Mean	0.40	14.40	3.30	2.44	5.64	4.33	3.2
	s.d.	0.26	5.72	2.32	1.20	1.09	2.92	0.6
	c.v.	60.5	39.74	70.22	49.9	19.3	67.55	20.1

The amount of total sugar and starch were determined following Sadasivam and Manickam (1991)³⁵. The chromic acid oxidation method described by O' Shea and Maguire (1962)³⁶ was used for the estimation of gross energy (G.E) in Kcal/g dry LPC. Total phenol content was determined using Folin -Ciocalteu reagent while tannin content was measured using Folin -Denis reagent as described by O' and Shea and Maguire (1962)³⁶ was used for the estimation of gross energy (G.E) in Kcal/g dry LPC. Total phenol content was determined using Folin -Ciocalteu reagent while tannin content was measured using Folin - Denis reagent as described by Sadasivam and Manickam (1991)³⁵. All samples were analysed in duplicate. The data were statistically analysed following Mungikar³⁷ (1997, 2003).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Foliages of all plants were taken for the preparation of LPC and so far as possible they were harvested at a preflowering stage. Most of the foliages were green, soft and lush which did not created any problem during fractionation. The freshly prepared protein concentrates obtained were green in colour, however, they became faint when stored in vinegar for long time.

Table 1a gives information on the yield and chemical composition of LPC samples prepared from 30 species under investigation. The yield of LPC fluctuated widely within the range of 7.70 g to 27.07 g /Kg green foliage with *Centellaasiatica* and *Phaselous vulgaris* respectively (fig.1). On an average the plants under investigation yielded 16.39±5.03 of LPC -DM per Kg green foliage. The coefficient of variation (C.V) for the yield of LPC was 30.07 %. On an average leguminous species like *Cassia*, *Phaselous*, and *Dolichous* gave good

yields of LPC followed by those from Cucurbitaceous species.

The nitrogen (N) percent of dry of dry matter (DM) in LPC ranged from 4.37 to 9.28%. On an average the leaf protein concentrates contained 6.04% N with 26.14 % variation in its values in different species. When calculated on the basis of nitrogen content, the LPCs contained from 19.26 to 58.00% Crude protein with an average value of 38.77±9.57%. The wide variation in protein content (C.V=24.6%) may be due to the variation in protein content in the leaf itself, proportion of LPC and recovery extracted nitrogen in the juice. All these factors affect the yield of LPC³⁸ However on an average almost all LPC samples were with adequate quantities of protein. The plant material should be recommended for the preparation of LPC if the Yield of LPC -DM is more than 10g/Kg fresh weight and if the resulting LPC contains more than 5% N on DM basis³⁹ Based on this assumption, nearly 25 species were found to be suitable for leaf protein extraction. An international Biological Programme (IBP) technical group suggested maximum ash content of 3% (on DM basis), if the LPC is to be used for human consumption⁴⁰ However, almost all species except *sesbania* and *brassica* showed lower values for ash content. The higher values of ash in the LPC samples recorded during present studies can be brought down to the expectation by thoroughly washing the vegetation with water and by giving acid treatment the LPC after its preparation. All LPC samples contained appreciable amounts of crude fat ranging between the 8.5 and 23.4% dry matter. Most of the LPC samples were with appreciable amount of β -carotene (Pro-vitamin A). The content of acid soluble ash fluctuated within the wide range of 1.60 and 9.05 % of DM indicating all LPC samples to be rich in mineral elements. All

LPC samples contained marginal amounts of calcium (Ca) while adequate quantities of phosphorus (P). The amounts of these micronutrients varied widely with the value of C.V of 56.6% for calcium (Ca) and 62.8% for phosphorus (p). In comparisons to protein, all LPC samples contained very little starch ranging from 0.90 to 4.14% of dry matter (table 1 b). The starch content also varied widely (C.V=49.9%). The total sugar content fluctuated between 3.6 and 7.2% of LPC-DM with moderate variation among the LPCs (C.V=19.36%) The water soluble reducing sugar (WSRS) content in LPC ranged between 0.11 to 0.90% of DM with a wide variation among various samples of LPC (C.V=60.5%). The variability in starch and reducing sugar content indicated breakdown of a part of starch during processing of the plant material for the preparation of LPC.

CONCLUSION

The use of LPC in human and animal nutrition has long been advocated to overcome protein deficiency and malnutrition. As the leaves are abundantly available and as it contain nutritionally superior proteins, their extraction from leaves can produce a cheap source of protein and vitamins. The use of LPC in human nutrition as a source of protein and vitamin A has been advocated by several workers. Choice of suitable plant material for its foliage to prepare LPC in an important and initial step for providing good quality of proteins supplement for human nutrition. During present investigation about 30 plants were screened for leaf protein extraction, 25 were found suitable as they yielded more than 10 g LPC-DM per Kg foliage and as the nitrogen (N) content in the resulting LPC was above 5% g dry matter (DM) as per the opinion of Singh (1969)⁴². Almost all species were found suitable for leaf protein extraction. On the basis of chemical composition the LPC samples were found nutritionally superior, even though they contained higher proportion of ash than desired. All LPC samples contained safer amounts of anti-nutritional compounds like Phenol and tannin. The overall result thus indicated wide scope for

fractionation and production of LPC in Tamil Nadu, with the search of suitable green vegetation.

REFERENCES

1. Sharma, B. K., and Kaur, H., (1997) "Environmental Chemistry" Pub. Krishna prakashan Mandir, Meerut.
2. Seal, R., and Chakrawarty, I (1982) J. Sci and Cul. 48(11):371
3. Chopra, J.S., Dhand. U.K., and Mehta, S., Bakshi, V., Rana, S., and Mehta, J (1986), Brain J. of neurology."109. pp. 307
4. Haluzik, M., Kabrt, J., Nedvidkova, J., Svobodva, J., Kotlikova, E., Papezova A. H (1999) J. Nutrition 15 (11-12): 829
5. Mertz, E.T. (1967). "Elementary Biochemistry". Feffer and Simons Pvt. Ltd., Bombay, pp., 224
6. West, E.S., Todd, W.R., Mason, and Bruggen, J.T.V. (1970). "Text Book of Biochemistry", 4th Edn. The Macmillan Company, Collier Macmillan Ltd., London.
7. Pirie, N.W. (1942). Nature, Lond. 149: 251.
8. Davys, M., Evans, W. C., and Parr, W.H (1952). Biochem. J., 52: xxiii
9. Carpenter K. J., Duckworth, J. and Ellinger, G.M. (1954) Proc. European Grassland conf, Paris, pp. 243.
10. Tilley, J. M. A., Barnes, M.L. and Raymond, W.F (1954). Fm. Mechaning. 6: 487
11. Anandaswamy, B. and Data, W.B. (1956). Bull. Cent. Fd. Technol. Res. Inst., (CFTRI) 5:105.
12. Raymond, W.F. and Harris, C.E. (1957). J. British Grassland Society, 12:166.
13. Sprenger, J.J.I. (1958). In "Kunstmattig Dragen in de Lanndboulw, Stratsdrukkerif".
14. Guha, B.C. (1960). Lancet. 7126, 704.
15. Chayan, I.H., Rai, N.P., Smith, R.H., Tristram, G. R., Thirkell, D. and Webb, T. (1961). J.Sci. Fd Agric. 12:502
16. Joshi, R.N., Savangikar, V.A. and Patunkar, B.W. (1984). Indian bot. Repr. 3:136
17. Pirie, N.W. (1978). "Leaf protein and other aspects of fodder fractionation" Cambridge University Press, London.

18. Shah, F.H. (1983). In "Leaf Protein Concentrates" (Telek, L. and Graham, H.D, Eds.) AVI publishing Co. Inc. Westport, Connecticut, pp. 760.
19. Pirie, N. W. (1969). *Pl. Foods Human Nutr*, 1:237,
20. Kamalanathan, G., Karuppiah, P. and Devdas, R.P. (1975). *Indian. J. Nutr. Dietet*, 12:203.
21. Guha, B.C. (1960). *Lancet*. 7126, 704.
22. Sur, B. and Subramanayam, V. (1955). *Indian. J. Med. Res.* 43:231
23. Mungikar, A.M., Batra, U.R., Tekale, N.S and Joshi, R.N., (1976a). *Expt. Agric* 12:353
24. Mungikar, (1974). "Agronomic studies in leaf protein production-IV", Ph.D Thesis, Marathwada University, Aurangabad.
25. Mungikar, A. M., Tekale, N.S and Joshi (1976 b) *Indian .J.Nutr.Dietet*, 13:144.
26. Telek, L. and Graham, H.D. (1983). "Leaf protein concentrates" AVI Publishing Co., Inc. Westport Connecticut
27. Dev, D.V Batra, U.R. and Joshi, R.N. (1974). *J.Sci.Fd agric.* 25:725
28. Mungikar, A.M., Batra, U.R., Tekale, N.S and Joshi, R.N., (1976a). *Expt. Agric* 12:353
29. Basole and Joshi, (1984). *Indian J.Pl.Nutr.* 2:57
30. Bailey, (1967). *Techniques in Protein Chemistry*, 2nd Edn. Elsevier Publishing Co., Amsterdam
31. A.O.A.C (1970) "Official and Tentative Methods of Analysis", Association of official Agricultural Chemists, Inc. Washington, D.C.
32. Fiske, C.H and Subba Rau, Y (1925). *J. Biol. Chem.*, 66:375
33. Oser, B.L. (1979). *Hawk's physiological chemistry*, 14th Edn, Tata McGraw Hill Publishing Co., Ltd., New Delhi.
34. Crampton E. Wand Maynard, L.A. (1938). *J.Nutr.* 15:383
35. Knuckles, B.E., Bicket al., E.M and Kohler, G.O (1972). *J.Agric.Fd Chem.* 20:1055
36. Sadasivam, S. and Manickam A. (1991) "Biochemical Methods for Agricultural Sciences", Wiley Eastern Ltd New Delhi, pp 9-11
37. O' Shea, T and Maguire, M.F. (1962), *J.Sci. Fd Agric.* 13:530
38. Mungikar A.M (2003,) "Biostatistical analysis" Saraswati printing Press Aurangabad.
39. Joshi R.N and Mungikar, A.M (1983). *Proc. Indian Acad., Sci(Plant Sci.)* 92: 35.
40. Singh, N. (1969) *J.Fd.Sci Tech* 6:165
41. Pirie, N.W. (1971). Ed "Leaf protein: its agronomy, preparation, quality and use" IBP Handbook No20, Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford and Edinburgh.
